

# Web Services SecurityUsernameToken Profile 1.1

## 4 OASIS Standard Specification, 1 February 2006

5	OASIS identifier:
6	wss-v1.1-os-UsernameTokenProfile
7	Location:
8	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/v1.1/
9	Technical Committee:
10	Web Service Security (WSS)
11	Chairs:
12	Kelvin Lawrence, IBM
13	Chris Kaler, Microsoft
14	Editors:
15	Anthony Nadalin, IBM
16	Chris Kaler, Microsoft
17	Ronald Monzillo, Sun
18	Phillip Hallam-Baker, Verisign
19	Abstract:
20 21	This document describes how to use the UsernameToken with the Web Services Security (WSS) specification.
22	Status:
23 24 25	This is an OASIS Standard document produced by the Web Services Security Technica Committee. It was approved by the OASIS membership on 1 February 2006. Check the current location noted above for possible errata to this document.
26 27 28 29	Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the technical Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the "Send A Comment" button on the Technical Committee's web page at www.oasisopen.org/committees/wss.
30 31 32 33 34	For patent disclosure information that may be essential to the implementation of this specification, and any offers of licensing terms, refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the OASIS Web Services Security Technical Committee (WSS TC) web page at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/wss/ipr.php. General OASIS IPR information can be found at http://www.oasis-open.org/who/intellectualproperty.shtml.

#### **Notices**

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be vailable; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be obtained from the OASIS Executive Director, OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

Copyright (C) OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS has been notified of intellectual property rights claimed in regard to some or all of the contents of this specification. For more information consult the online list of claimed rights.

This section is non-normative.

WSS: UsernameToken Profile Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.

## **Table of Contents**

74

75	1 Introduction	4
76	2 Notations and Terminology	
77	2.1 Notational Conventions	4
78	2.2 Namespaces	
79	2.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations	5
80	3 UsernameToken Extensions	6
81	3.1 Usernames and Passwords	6
82	3.2 Token Reference	10
83	3.3 Error Codes	
84	4 Key Derivation	11
85	5 Security Considerations	
86	6 References	14
87	Appendix A. Acknowledgements	15
88	Appendix B. Revision History	18
89		

#### 1 Introduction

- 91 This document describes how to use the UsernameToken with the WSS: SOAP Message
- 92 Security specification [WSS]. More specifically, it describes how a web service consumer can
- 93 supply a UsernameToken as a means of identifying the requestor by "username", and optionally
- using a password (or shared secret, or password equivalent) to authenticate that identity to the
- 95 web service producer.

96

99

90

- 97 This section is non-normative. Note that Sections 2.1, 2.2, all of 3, 4 and indicated parts of 6 are
- 98 normative. All other sections are non-normative.

## 2 Notations and Terminology

This section specifies the notations, namespaces, and terminology used in this specification.

#### 2.1 Notational Conventions

- The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD",
- 103 "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be
- interpreted as described in [RFC 2119].

105

101

- When describing abstract data models, this specification uses the notational convention used by
- the XML Infoset. Specifically, abstract property names always appear in square brackets (e.g.,
- 108 [some property]).

109

- 110 When describing concrete XML schemas [XML-Schema], this specification uses the notational
- 111 convention of WSS: SOAP Message Security. Specifically, each member of an element's
- 112 [children] or [attributes] property is described using an XPath-like [XPath] notation (e.g.,
- 113 /x:MyHeader/x:SomeProperty/@value1). The use of {any} indicates the presence of an element
- 114 wildcard (<xs:any/>). The use of @{any} indicates the presence of an attribute wildcard
- 115 (<xs:anyAttribute/>).

116

120

- 117 Commonly used security terms are defined in the Internet Security Glossary [SECGLO]. Readers
- are presumed to be familiar with the terms in this glossary as well as the definition in the Web
- 119 Services Security specification.

#### 2.2 Namespaces

- 121 Namespace URIs (of the general form "some-URI") represents some application-dependent or
- 122 context-dependent URI as defined in RFC 3986 [URI]. This specification is designed to work with
- the general SOAP [SOAP11, SOAP12] message structure and message processing model, and
- should be applicable to any version of SOAP. The current SOAP 1.1 namespace URI is used

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

1 February 2006

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.

herein to provide detailed examples, but there is no intention to limit the applicability of this specification to a single version of SOAP.

127128

125

126

The namespaces used in this document are shown in the following table (note that for brevity, the examples use the prefixes listed below but do not include the URIs – those listed below are assumed).

130131

129

Prefix	Namespace
S11	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/
S12	http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope
wsse	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd
wsse11	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/oasis-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.1.xsd
wsu	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd

132133

134 135 The URLs provided for the wsse and wsu namespaces can be used to obtain the schema files.

URI fragments defined in this specification are relative to a base URI of the following unless otherwise stated:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0

137138139

136

The following table lists the full URI for each URI fragment referred to in this specification.

140

URI Fragment	Full URI
#PasswordDigest	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0#PasswordDigest
#PasswordText	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0#PasswordText
#UsernameToken	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0#UsernameToken

#### 2.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations

The following (non-normative) table defines acronyms and abbreviations for this document.

143

141

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.

Term	Definition
SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm
SOAP	Simple Object Access Protocol
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
XML	Extensible Markup Language

#### 3 UsernameToken Extensions

#### 3.1 Usernames and Passwords

The <wsse:UsernameToken> element is introduced in the WSS: SOAP Message Security documents as a way of providing a username.

Within <wsse:UsernameToken> element, a <wsse:Password> element may be specified. Passwords of type PasswordText and PasswordDigest are not limited to actual passwords, although this is a common case. Any password equivalent such as a derived password or S/KEY (one time password) can be used. Having a type of PasswordText merely implies that the information held in the password is "in the clear", as opposed to holding a "digest" of the information. For example, if a server does not have access to the clear text of a password but does have the hash, then the hash is considered a password equivalent and can be used anywhere where a "password" is indicated in this specification. It is not the intention of this specification to require that all implementations have access to clear text passwords.

Passwords of type PasswordDigest are defined as being the Base64 [XML-Schema] encoded, SHA-1 hash value, of the UTF8 encoded password (or equivalent). However, unless this digested password is sent on a secured channel or the token is encrypted, the digest offers no real additional security over use of wsse:PasswordText.

Two optional elements are introduced in the <wsse:UsernameToken> element to provide a countermeasure for replay attacks: <wsse:Nonce> and <wsu:Created>. A nonce is a random value that the sender creates to include in each UsernameToken that it sends. Although using a nonce is an effective countermeasure against replay attacks, it requires a server to maintain a cache of used nonces, consuming server resources. Combining a nonce with a creation timestamp has the advantage of allowing a server to limit the cache of nonces to a "freshness" time period, establishing an upper bound on resource requirements. If either or both of <wsse:Nonce> and <msse:Created> are present they MUST be included in the digest value as follows:

Password\_Digest = Base64 ( SHA-1 ( nonce + created + password ) )

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

1 February 2006

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.

That is, concatenate the nonce, creation timestamp, and the password (or shared secret or password equivalent), digest the combination using the SHA-1 hash algorithm, then include the Base64 encoding of that result as the password (digest). This helps obscure the password and offers a basis for preventing replay attacks. For web service producers to effectively thwart replay attacks, three counter measures are RECOMMENDED:

1. It is RECOMMENDED that web service producers reject any UsernameToken *not* using *both* nonce *and* creation timestamps.

 2. It is RECOMMENDED that web service producers provide a timestamp "freshness" limitation, and that any UsernameToken with "stale" timestamps be rejected. As a guideline, a value of five minutes can be used as a minimum to detect, and thus reject, replays.

3. It is RECOMMENDED that used nonces be cached for a period at least as long as the timestamp freshness limitation period, above, and that UsernameToken with nonces that have already been used (and are thus in the cache) be rejected.

Note that the nonce is hashed using the octet sequence of its decoded value while the timestamp is hashed using the octet sequence of its UTF8 encoding as specified in the contents of the element.

Note that PasswordDigest can only be used if the plain text password (or password equivalent) is available to both the requestor and the recipient.

Note that the secret is put at the end of the input and not the front. This is because the output of SHA-1 is the function's complete state at the end of processing an input stream. If the input stream happened to fit neatly into the block size of the hash function, an attacker could extend the input with additional blocks and generate new/unique hash values knowing only the hash output for the original stream. If the secret is at the end of the stream, then attackers are prevented from arbitrarily extending it -- since they have to end the input stream with the password which they don't know. Similarly, if the nonce/created was put at the end, then an attacker could update the nonce to be nonce+created, and add a new created time on the end to generate a new hash.

The countermeasures above do not cover the case where the token is replayed to a different receiver. There are several (non-normative) possible approaches to counter this threat, which may be used separately or in combination. Their use requires pre-arrangement (possibly in the form of a separately published profile which introduces new password type) among the communicating parties to provide interoperability:

• including the username in the hash, to thwart cases where multiple user accounts have matching passwords (e.g. passwords based on company name)

WSS: UsernameToken Profile
Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.

- including the domain name in the hash, to thwart cases where the same username/password is used in multiple systems
  - including some indication of the intended receiver in the hash, to thwart cases where receiving systems don't share nonce caches (e.g., two separate application clusters in the same security domain).

The following illustrates the XML syntax of this element:

```
225
226
226
227
228
228
229
230

<pre
```

The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

/wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password

219

220 221

222223

224

231232

233

234

235 236

237

238239

240

241

242243

244245

246

247

This optional element provides password information (or equivalent such as a hash). It is RECOMMENDED that this element only be passed when a secure transport (e.g. HTTP/S) is being used or if the token itself is being encrypted.

/wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password/@Type

This optional URI attribute specifies the type of password being provided. The table below identifies the pre-defined types (note that the URI fragments are relative to the URI for this specification).

URI	Description
#PasswordText (default)	The actual password for the username, the password hash, or derived password or S/KEY. This type should be used when hashed password equivalents that do not rely on a nonce or creation time are used, or when a digest algorithm other than SHA1 is used.
#PasswordDigest	The digest of the password (and optionally nonce and/or creation timestamp) for the username using the algorithm described above.

/wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password/@{any}

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

WSS: UsernameToken Profile 1 February 2006
Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved. Page 8

248249

/wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Nonce

250251252

This optional element specifies a cryptographically random nonce. Each message including a <wsse:Nonce> element MUST use a new nonce value in order for web service producers to detect replay attacks.

253254

/wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Nonce/@EncodingType

255256257

This optional attribute URI specifies the encoding type of the nonce (see the definition of <wsse:BinarySecurityToken> for valid values). If this attribute isn't specified then the default of Base64 encoding is used.

258 259

/wsse:UsernameToken/wsu:Created

260 261 The optional <wsu:Created> element specifies a timestamp used to indicate the creation time. It is defined as part of the <wsu:Timestamp> definition.

262263

264

265

All compliant implementations MUST be able to process the <wsse:UsernameToken> element. Where the specification requires that an element be "processed" it means that the element type MUST be recognized to the extent that an appropriate error is returned if the element is not supported.

266267268

Note that <wsse:KeyIdentifier> and <ds:KeyName> elements as described in the WSS: SOAP Message Security specification are not supported in this profile.

269270271

The following example illustrates the use of this element. In this example the password is sent as clear text and therefore this message should be sent over a confidential channel:

272273

```
274
             <S11:Envelope xmlns:S11="..." xmlns:wsse="...">
275
                 <S11:Header>
276
277
                    <wsse:Security>
278
                       <wsse:UsernameToken>
279
                          <wsse:Username>Zoe</wsse:Username>
280
                          <wsse:Password>IloveDogs</wsse:Password>
281
                       </wsse:UsernameToken>
282
                    </wsse:Security>
283
284
                 </S11:Header>
285
286
             </S11:Envelope>
```

287 288

The following example illustrates using a digest of the password along with a nonce and a creation timestamp:

289 290

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

1 February 2006

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.

```
291
             <S11:Envelope xmlns:S11="..." xmlns:wsse="..." xmlns:wsu= "...">
292
                <S11:Header>
293
294
                   <wsse:Security>
295
                      <wsse:UsernameToken>
296
                          <wsse:Username>NNK</wsse:Username>
297
                          <wsse:Password Type="...#PasswordDigest">
298
                             weYI3nXd8LjMNVksCKFV8t3rgHh3Rw==
299
                          </wsse:Password>
300
                          <wsse:Nonce>WScqanjCEAC4mQoBE07sAQ==</wsse:Nonce>
301
                          <wsu:Created>2003-07-16T01:24:32Z</wsu:Created>
302
                       </wsse:UsernameToken>
303
                   </wsse:Security>
304
305
                </S11:Header>
306
307
             </S11:Envelope>
```

#### 3.2 Token Reference

When a UsernameToken is referenced using <wsse:SecurityTokenReference> the ValueType attribute is not required. If specified, the value of #UsernameToken MUST be specified.

313 314 315

308

309 310

311

312

The following encoding formats are pre-defined (note that the URI fragments are relative to http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0):

316317

URI	Description
#UsernameToken	UsernameToken

318 319

320

323

When a UsernameToken is referenced from a <ds:KeyInfo> element, it can be used to derive a key for a message authentication algorithm as described in Section 4 Key Derivation

321 322

There is no definition of a Keyldentifier for a UsernameToken. Consequently, Keyldentifier references MUST NOT used when referring to a UsernameToken.

324 325

Similarly, there is no definition of a KeyName for a UsernameToken. Consequently, KeyName references MUST NOT be used when referring to a UsernameToken.

326 327 328

All references refer to the wsu: Id for the token.

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

1 February 2006

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.

#### 3.3 Error Codes

Implementations may use custom error codes defined in private namespaces if needed. But it is RECOMMENDED that they use the error handling codes defined in the WSS: SOAP Message Security specification for signature, decryption, and encoding and token header errors to improve interoperability.

When using custom error codes, implementations should be careful not to introduce security vulnerabilities that may assist an attacker in the error codes returned.

### 4 Key Derivation

The password associated with a username may be used to derive a shared secret key for the purposes of integrity or confidentiality protecting message contents. This section defines schema extensions and a procedure for deriving such keys. This procedure MUST be employed when keys are to be derived from passwords in order in ensure interoperability.

 It must be noted that passwords are subject to several kinds of attack, which in turn will lead to the exposure of any derived keys. This key derivation procedure is intended to minimize the risk of attacks on the keys, to the extent possible, but it is ultimately limited by the insecurity of a password that it is possible for a human being to remember and type on a standard keyboard. This is discussed in more detail in the security considerations section of this document.

Two additional elements are required to enable to derivation of a key from a password. They are <wssel1:Salt> and <wssel1:Iteration>. These values are not secret and MUST be conveyed in the UsernameToken when key derivation is used. When key derivation is used the password MUST NOT be included in the UsernameToken. The receiver will use its knowledge of the password to derive the same key as the sender.

The following illustrates the syntax of the <wssel1:Salt> and <wssel1:Iteration> elements.

The following describes these elements.

/wsse11:UsernameToken/wsse:Salt

This element is combined with the password as described below. Its value is a 128 bit number serilized as xs:base64Binary. It MUST be present when key derivation is used.

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

1 February 2006

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.

368	
369	/wsse11:UsernameToken/wsse11:Iteration
370 371 372	This element indicates the number of times the hashing operation is repeated when deriving the key. It is expressed as a xs:unsignedInteger value. If it is not present, a value of 1000 is used for the iteration count.
373	
374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381	A key derived from a password may be used either in the calculation of a Message Authentication Code (MAC) or as a symmetric key for encryption. When used in a MAC, the key length will always be 160 bits. When used for encryption, an encryption algorithm MUST NOT be used which requires a key of length greater than 160 bits. A sufficient number of the high order bits of the key will be used for encryption. Unneeded low order bits will be discarded. For example, if the AES-128 algorithm is used, the high order 128 bits will be used and the low order 32 bits will be discarded from the derived 160 bit value.
382 383 384 385	The <wssell:salt> element is constructed as follows. The high order 8 bits of the Salt will have the value of 01 if the key is to be used in a MAC and 02 if the key is to be used for encryption. The remaining 120 low order bits of the Salt should be a random value.</wssell:salt>
386 387 388 389 390	The key is derived as follows. The password (which is UTF-8 encoded) and Salt are concatenated in that order. Only the actual octets of the password are used, it is not padded or zero terminated. This value is hashed using the SHA1 algorithm. The result of this operation is also hashed using SHA1. This process is repeated until the total number of hash operations equals the Iteration count.
391	
392	In other words: K1 = SHA1( password + Salt)
393	K2 = SHA1( K1 )
394	
395	Kn = SHA1 (Kn-1)
396	Where + means concatenation and n is the iteration count.
397	
398 399	The resulting 160 bit value is used in a MAC function or truncated to the appropriate length for encryption
400	5 Security Considerations
401	The use of the UsernameToken introduces no additional threats beyond those already identified
402 403 404	for other types of SecurityTokens. Replay attacks can be addressed by using message timestamps, nonces, and caching, as well as other application-specific tracking mechanisms. Token ownership is verified by use of keys and man-in-the-middle attacks are generally

mitigated. Transport-level security may be used to provide confidentiality and integrity of both the

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

405

406

1 February 2006

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.

UsernameToken and the entire message body.

When a password (or password equivalent) in a <usernameToken> is used for authentication, the password needs to be properly protected. If the underlying transport does not provide enough protection against eavesdropping, the password SHOULD be digested as described in this document. Even so, the password must be strong enough so that simple password guessing attacks will not reveal the secret from a captured message.

When a password is encrypted, in addition to the normal threats against any encryption, two password-specific threats must be considered: replay and guessing. If an attacker can impersonate a user by replaying an encrypted or hashed password, then learning the actual password is not necessary. One method of preventing replay is to use a nonce as mentioned previously. Generally it is also necessary to use a timestamp to put a ceiling on the number of previous nonces that must be stored. However, in order to be effective the nonce and timestamp must be signed. If the signature is also over the password itself, prior to encryption, then it would be a simple matter to use the signature to perform an offline guessing attack against the password. This threat can be countered in any of several ways including: don't include the password under the signature (the password will be verified later) or sign the encrypted password.

The reader should also review Section 13 of WSS: SOAP Message Security document for additional discussion on threats and possible counter-measures.

The security of keys derived from passwords is limited by the attacks available against passwords themselves, such as guessing and brute force. Because of the limited size of password that human beings can remember and limited number of octet values represented by keys that can easily be typed, a typical password represents the equivalent of an entropy source of a maximum of only about 50 bits. For this reason a maximum key size of only 160 bits is supported. Longer keys would simply increase processing without adding to security.

The key derivation algorithm specified here is based on one described in RFC 2898. It is referred to in that document as PBKDF1. It is used instead of PBKDF2, because it is simpler and keys longer than 160 bits are not required as discussed previously.

The purpose of the salt is to prevent the bulk pre-computation of key values to be tested against distinct passwords. The Salt value is defined so that MAC and encryption keys are guaranteed to have distinct values even when derived from the same password. This prevents certain cryptanalytic attacks.

The iteration count is intended to increase the work factor of a guessing or brute force attack, at a minor cost to normal key derivation. An iteration count of at least 1000 (the default) SHOULD always be used.

This section is non-normative.

WSS: UsernameToken Profile Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.

1 February 2006

## **6 References**

450

483

451	The following are norm	ative references:
452	[SECGLO]	Informational RFC 2828, "Internet Security Glossary," May 2000.
453	[RFC2119]	S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels,"
454	• •	RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997
455	[WSS]	OASIS standard, "WSS: SOAP Message Security," TBD.
456	[SOAP11]	W3C Note, "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1," 08 May 2000.
457	[SOAP12]	W3C Recommendation, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging
458		Framework", 23 June 2003
459	[URI]	T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers
460		(URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, Day Software, Adobe
461	IVMI Calcamal	Systems, January 2005
462 463	[XML-Schema]	W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures,"2 May 2001. W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001.
464	[XPath]	W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999
465	[WS-Security]	A. Nadalin et al., Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.1
466	[WS-Security]	(WS-Security 2004), OASIS Standard, http://docs.oasis-
467		open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-
468		1.1.pdf.
469		
470	The following are non-r	normative references included for background and related material:
471		
472	[XML-C14N]	W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001
473 474	[EXC-C14N]	W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," 8 July 2002.
475	[XML-Encrypt]	W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 March
476		2002
477 478		W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002.
479	[XML-ns]	W3C Recommendation, "Namespaces in XML," 14 January 1999.
480	[XML Signature]	D. Eastlake, J. R., D. Solo, M. Bartel, J. Boyer, B. Fox, E. Simon. XML-
481	, <u> </u>	Signature Syntax and Processing, W3C Recommendation, 12 February
482		2002.

# Appendix A. Acknowledgements

#### 485 Current Contributors:

484

n <u>t Contributoı</u>	S	
Michael	Hu	Actional
Maneesh	Sahu	Actional
Duane	Nickull	Adobe Systems
Gene	Thurston	AmberPoint
Frank	Siebenlist	Argonne National Laboratory
Hal	Lockhart	BEA Systems
Denis	Pilipchuk	BEA Systems
Corinna	Witt	BEA Systems
Steve	Anderson	BMC Software
Rich	Levinson	Computer Associates
Thomas	DeMartini	ContentGuard
Merlin	Hughes	Cybertrust
Dale	Moberg	Cyclone Commerce
Rich	Salz	Datapower
Sam	Wei	EMC
Dana S.	Kaufman	Forum Systems
Toshihiro	Nishimura	Fujitsu
Kefeng	Chen	GeoTrust
Irving	Reid	Hewlett-Packard
Kojiro	Nakayama	Hitachi
Paula	Austel	IBM
Derek	Fu	IBM
Maryann	Hondo	IBM
Kelvin	Lawrence	IBM
Michael	McIntosh	IBM
Anthony	Nadalin	IBM
Nataraj	Nagaratnam	IBM
Bruce	Rich	IBM
Ron	Williams	IBM
Don	Flinn	Individual
Kate	Cherry	Lockheed Martin
Paul	Cotton	Microsoft
Vijay	Gajjala	Microsoft
Martin	Gudgin	Microsoft
Chris	Kaler	Microsoft
Frederick	Hirsch	Nokia
Abbie	Barbir	Nortel
Prateek	Mishra	Oracle
Vamsi	Motukuru	Oracle
Ramana	Turlapi	Oracle
Ben	Hammond	RSA Security
Rob	Philpott	RSA Security

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

1 February 2006

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.

Blake	Dournaee	Sarvega
Sundeep	Peechu	Sarvega
Coumara	Radja	Sarvega
Pete	Wenzel	SeeBeyond
Manveen	Kaur	Sun Microsystems
Ronald	Monzillo	Sun Microsystems
Jan	Alexander	Systinet
Symon	Chang	TIBCO Software
John	Weiland	US Navy
Hans	Granqvist	VeriSign
Phillip	Hallam-Baker	VeriSign
Hemma	Prafullchandra	VeriSign

#### 486 **Previous Contributors:**

PeterDapkusBEAGuillermoLaoContentGuardTJPannuContentGuardXinWangContentGuardShawnSharpCyclone CommerceGaneshVaideeswaranDocumentumTimMosesEntrustCarolinaCanales- ValenzuelaEricssonTomRuttFujitsuYutakaKudoHitachiJasonRouaultHP	
TJ Pannu ContentGuard Xin Wang ContentGuard Shawn Sharp Cyclone Commerce Ganesh Vaideeswaran Documentum Tim Moses Entrust Carolina Canales- Valenzuela Tom Rutt Fujitsu Yutaka Kudo Hitachi	
Xin Wang ContentGuard Shawn Sharp Cyclone Commerce Ganesh Vaideeswaran Documentum Tim Moses Entrust Carolina Canales- Valenzuela Tom Rutt Fujitsu Yutaka Kudo Hitachi	
Shawn Sharp Cyclone Commerce Ganesh Vaideeswaran Documentum Tim Moses Entrust Carolina Canales- Valenzuela Tom Rutt Fujitsu Yutaka Kudo Hitachi	
Ganesh Vaideeswaran Documentum Tim Moses Entrust Carolina Canales- Valenzuela Tom Rutt Fujitsu Yutaka Kudo Hitachi	
Tim Moses Entrust Carolina Canales- Valenzuela Tom Rutt Fujitsu Yutaka Kudo Hitachi	
Carolina Canales- Valenzuela  Tom Rutt Fujitsu  Yutaka Kudo Hitachi	
Valenzuela Tom Rutt Fujitsu Yutaka Kudo Hitachi	
Tom Rutt Fujitsu Yutaka Kudo Hitachi	
Yutaka Kudo Hitachi	
Jason Rouault HP	
Bob Blakley IBM	
Joel Farrell IBM	
Satoshi Hada IBM	
Hiroshi Maruyama IBM	
David Melgar IBM	
Kent Tamura IBM	
Wayne Vicknair IBM	
Phil Griffin Individual	
Mark Hayes Individual	
John Hughes Individual	
Peter Rostin Individual	
Davanum Srinivas Individual	
Bob Morgan Individual/Internet2	
Bob Atkinson Microsoft	
Keith Ballinger Microsoft	
Allen Brown Microsoft	
Giovanni Della-Libera Microsoft	
Alan Geller Microsoft	
Johannes Klein Microsoft	
Scott Konersmann Microsoft	
Chris Kurt Microsoft	
Brian LaMacchia Microsoft	

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

Paul	Leach	Microsoft
John	Manferdelli	Microsoft
John	Shewchuk	Microsoft
Dan	Simon	Microsoft
Hervey	Wilson	Microsoft
Jeff	Hodges	Neustar
Senthil	Sengodan	Nokia
Lloyd	Burch	Novell
Ed	Reed	Novell
Charles	Knouse	Oblix
Vipin	Samar	Oracle
Jerry	Schwarz	Oracle
Eric	Gravengaard	Reactivity
Andrew	Nash	Reactivity
Stuart	King	Reed Elsevier
Martijn	de Boer	SAP
Jonathan	Tourzan	Sony
Yassir	Elley	Sun
Michael	Nguyen	The IDA of Singapore
Don	Adams	TIBCO
Morten	Jorgensen	Vordel

## **Appendix B. Revision History**

487

Rev Date By Whom What

WSS: UsernameToken Profile Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2006. All Rights Reserved.